Nikki R. Haley
Governor



Robert M. Hitt, III
Secretary

Nikki R. Haley SOUTH CAROLINA Robert M. Hitt, III

TO: State Budget & Control Board

Senate Finance Committee

House Ways & Means Committee

FROM: Alan D. Young, Executive Director, Coordinating Council

South Carolina Department of Commerce

DATE: May 15, 2011

SUBJECT: 2010 Annual Report of Enterprise Zone Activity

On behalf of the Coordinating Council for Economic Development, I am pleased to submit the 2010 Coordinating Council for Economic Development Annual Report of Enterprise Zone Activity. In accordance with Sections 12-10-85(D) and 12-10-100(C) of the Enterprise Zone Act of 1995, this report details activities of the Enterprise Zone Programs managed by the South Carolina Department of Commerce's Grants Administration Division.

Please call me at 803-737-0448 should you have questions or need additional information.

cc: The Honorable Robert M. Hitt, III, Secretary of Commerce

Chairman, Coordinating Council for Economic Development

Jim Etter, Director, SC Department of Revenue

The Honorable Hugh E. Weathers, Commissioner, SC Department of Agriculture

Montez C. Martin, Jr., Chairman, State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education

William E. Masters, Chairman, SC Research Authority

Peter Brown, Chairman, Jobs Economic Development Authority

O. L. Thompson, Chairman, Santee Cooper

Duane N. Parrish, Director, SC Department of Parks, Recreation and Tourism

William H. Stern, Chairman, State Ports Authority

John Finan, Director, SC Department of Employment and Workforce

Robert St. Onge, Secretary, SC Department of Transportation

Enclosure

South Carolina Coordinating Council for Economic Development

2010 Annual Report of Enterprise Zone Activity

SC Coordinating Council for Economic Development 2010 Annual Report of Enterprise Zone Activity

Table of Contents

| Overview of the Coordinating Council for Economic Development | 1 |
|--|----|
| Council Responsibilities and Membership | 1 |
| 2010 Administrative Changes | 2 |
| Enterprise Zone Programs | 3 |
| Job Development Credit | 3 |
| Job Retraining Credit | 5 |
| Responsibilities of the Coordinating Council | 6 |
| 2010 Accomplishments | 6 |
| Summary of 2010 Enterprise Zone Program Activity & Application Fees | 7 |
| Summary of 2010 Enterprise Zone Program Activity | 8 |
| Enterprise Zone Program Preliminary Revitalization Agreements Calendar Year 2010 Approvals | 9 |
| Enterprise Zone Program Final Revitalization Agreements Calendar Year 2010 Approvals | 11 |
| Enterprise Zone Program 5-Year Retraining Agreements Calendar Year 2010 Approvals | 12 |

OVERVIEW OF THE COORDINATING COUNCIL FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

The Coordinating Council for Economic Development was established by the General Assembly in 1986 (SC Code 13-1-1710) for the purpose of enhancing economic growth and development in the State through strategic planning and coordination. As such, the Council is chaired by the Secretary of Commerce and the ten additional members are drawn from other state agencies involved in economic development.

COUNCIL RESPONSIBILITIES AND MEMBERSHIP

By statute, the full body of the Council is required to meet at least quarterly. Its responsibilities include: establishing guidelines and procedures for all Council programs; implementing the state's strategy for economic development; reviewing and approving all applications for grants from the Set-Aside, Rural Infrastructure, Closing and Tourism Infrastructure Funds; and reviewing and approving all applications for Enterprise Zone Job Development Credit and Retraining incentives. The Council also certifies economic development projects as representing "significant economic impact" on areas surrounding them, for the purposes of qualifying for income tax apportionment and income tax moratoriums.

Following enactment of the Enterprise Zone legislation in 1995, the Council formed a specialized, five-member subcommittee ("Enterprise Committee") to handle the substantial volume of new activity and related policy decisions. This committee meets monthly to review and approve applications for Enterprise Zone incentives, respond to issues and recommend policies for adoption by the full Council at its quarterly meetings. Current membership of the Council is shown below.

AGENCY MEMBERS OF THE COORDINATING COUNCIL

SC Department of Commerce

SC Department of Parks, Recreation and Tourism

SC Department of Employment and Workforce

SC Research Authority

State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education

SC Department of Transportation

*SC Department of Revenue

*SC Department of Agriculture

*Santee Cooper

*State Ports Authority

*Jobs Economic Development Authority

^{*}Denotes Enterprise Committee member

2010 ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES

Joe E. Taylor, Jr., who was appointed Secretary of Commerce by Governor Sanford in March 2006, acted as Chairperson of the Coordinating Council throughout 2010. Ray Stevens, Director of the SC Department of Revenue, chaired the Enterprise Committee of the Coordinating Council throughout 2010. The heads of several member agencies changed during 2010, and The Secretary of the Department of Transportation was added as a member.

Council membership after all changes in calendar year 2010 was as follows:

Joe E. Taylor, Jr. Secretary of Commerce

Chairman, Coordinating Council for Economic Development

Ray Stevens Director, SC Department of Revenue

Chairman, Coordinating Council Enterprise Committee

John Finan Director, SC Department of Employment and Workforce

Hugh E. Weathers Commissioner, SC Department of Agriculture

Montez C. Martin, Jr. Chairman, State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education

William E. Masters Chairman, SC Research Authority

Peter Brown Chairman, Jobs and Economic Development Authority

O.L. Thompson Chairman, Santee Cooper

William H. Stern Chairman, State Ports Authority

Chad Prosser Director, SC Department of Parks, Recreation and Tourism

H. B. Limehouse, Jr. Secretary, SC Department of Transportation

Coordinating Council staff:

Alan D. Young Executive Director, Coordinating Council
Cynthia Turnipseed Assistant to the Director and Staff Attorney

Marcella S. Forrest Senior Program Manager, Enterprise Zone Program Jackie Calvi Senior Program Manager, CCED Grant Programs

To absorb the general funds reduction for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2010, Proviso 89.87 of the Appropriation Act for FY 2010-11 gave agencies the ability to spend funds from an agency earmarked and restricted account designated as "special revenue funds." The Council approved a transfer of \$200,000 from the Enterprise Zone administrative account to the agency budget.

ENTERPRISE ZONE PROGRAMS

The South Carolina General Assembly enacted the Enterprise Zone legislation in 1995. Since that time, the incentives contained in this legislation have created a significant competitive advantage for this state. They have also greatly enhanced South Carolina's ability to compete for and win high-quality, high-wage economic development projects. As Enterprise Zone incentives are most valuable to companies locating or expanding in "distressed," "least developed" and "under developed" counties, Enterprise Zone incentives have also helped attract needed jobs and industry to the most rural areas of the state.

JOB DEVELOPMENT CREDIT

The most significant incentive created by the Enterprise Zone legislation is the Job Development Credit ("JDC"). The JDC acts like a rebate, refunding some or all of a company's qualifying and eligible expenditures. However, the JDC can only be claimed *after a company has proven that it has met an agreed level of new capital investment and net new job creation*. Companies must perform, in a manner consistent with their approval for the program, the level of job creation and the new capital investment on which the approval was based, before they can benefit from the program. Companies can take up to 5 years to complete their investment and job creation. At this point, they are "certified" by the Council to begin receiving JDC reimbursements.

After certification, the JDC can be claimed only *quarterly*. The reimbursement process is slow, designed to reimburse companies over a 10-year period for projects which entered into a preliminary revitalization agreement. (Should all eligible expenditures be recouped before 10 years, the process ends. In no case does the statute allow total JDCs received to exceed eligible expenditures.) Even over 10 years, many companies will recover only a small percentage of their total eligible expenditures. Generally eligible expenditures represent permanent capital investment that will stay in the state, regardless of what the company may do in the future and regardless of whether the company recoups these expenditures in the form of JDC reimbursement.

It is important to note that the statute does <u>not</u> allow reimbursement for moveable personal property, such as machinery and equipment and/or furniture and fixtures, with the exception of pollution control equipment. These items typically represent the majority of an economic development project's total capital costs, and as a result, the state and locality gain much more than simply the eligible capital investment. In order to benefit from any reimbursement in the future, the company must *meet* and maintain a level of total capital investment typically 2 to 8 times greater than the reimbursable amount. In this way, the Enterprise Zone JDC has proven

extremely effective in stimulating a guaranteed increase in capital investment and a related increase in local tax base for counties all across South Carolina.

ANNUALLY ADJUSTED JOB DEVELOPMENT CREDIT FACTORS

The amount a company can claim as a JDC depends on three factors: 1) wage levels for qualified new jobs, 2) development status of the county where the project locates or expands, and 3) maximum eligible expenditures. In no case can a company receive more than the total cost of its eligible expenditures.

1) Wage Levels

The maximum value of the JDC depends on the hourly pay rate for new positions. Because the statute was designed to encourage higher paying jobs, the higher the pay rate, the greater the benefit to the company. A company with positions that all pay \$25 per hour will be able to claim a JDC equal to 5% of the taxable wages for those positions. Conversely, a lower paying employer may qualify to claim only 2 or 3% of taxable wages for his positions. The Budget and Control Board adjusts the scale each year. The scale below shows the scale for calendar year 2010.

| PERCENTAGE OF TAXABLE WAGES COMPANIES MAY CLAIM AS A JOB DEVELOPMENT CREDIT 2010 | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Hourly Wage | Maximum % Claimed as JDC | | |
| \$8.74 - \$11.64 / hour | 2% | | |
| \$11.65 - \$14.55 / hour | 3% | | |
| \$14.56 - \$21.84 / hour | 4% | | |
| \$21.85 and over | 5% | | |
| \$21.85 and over | 5% | | |

2) County Development Status & Contributions to the Rural Infrastructure Fund

Of the maximum, companies can actually claim 55% to 100%, depending on the status of the county at the time of approval for the program. Greatest benefit goes to companies locating or expanding in "distressed" and "least developed" counties. The difference between the maximum and the amount the company can claim goes to the Rural Infrastructure Fund ("RIF"). As the Enterprise Program has matured, the RIF has begun to represent a significant source of assistance to rural counties, both for infrastructure and product development activities related to economic development preparedness, and for roads, water/sewer, site preparation and other activities necessary to secure new business locations or expansions.

For the purposes of determining development status, the classifications correspond to those established for the Jobs Tax Credit corporate income tax credit. The state's 46 counties are divided into five classifications initially based on unemployment rates and per capita income levels. The five classifications and the Job Development Credit percentages that can be claimed in each category are shown below.

COUNTY CLASSIFICATIONS FOR THE JOB DEVELOPMENT CREDIT

| | Allowable Credit |
|------------------------------|-------------------|
| County Classification | as % of Total JDC |
| Developed | 55% |
| Moderately Developed | 70% |
| Under Developed | 85% |
| Least Developed & Distressed | 100% |

JOB RETRAINING CREDIT

South Carolina's existing industry must remain competitive and profitable in order to avoid a loss of jobs to other states and countries. To assist with this, the Enterprise Act of 1995 also provided a retraining incentive for existing industry. This "retraining credit" allows eligible businesses to claim a credit against withholding tax for the cost of retraining existing production employees, provided the training is necessary for the company to remain competitive or to introduce new technologies.

The Enterprise Zone legislation requires that retraining be approved and performed by the technical college serving the designated site. The technical college may provide the retraining program directly or contract with other training entities to accomplish the training outcomes.

The Coordinating Council defines production employees as employees who are directly engaged in the actual making of tangible personal property or who are directly involved in manufacturing, processing operations or distribution. Eligible businesses may not claim more than \$500 per calendar year, or \$2,000 over a five-year period, per production employee. Furthermore, a company must match – on a dollar for dollar basis – the employee's withholding share claimed for the training. Finally, companies may not claim <u>both</u> the Job Development Credit and the Retraining Credit on the same position.

RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE COORDINATING COUNCIL

The Enterprise Zone Act ("Act") gives the Coordinating Council authority to administer these incentives in a manner consistent with the Act. The Act charges the Coordinating Council with establishing criteria for approval of qualifying businesses, conducting an adequate cost/benefit analysis with respect to proposed projects and incentives proposed to be granted, and preparing a public document that summarizes each revitalization agreement concluded during the prior calendar year. Per Section 12-10-100 (c), this report shall list each revitalization agreement, the results of each cost/benefit analysis and receipts and expenditures of application fees.

2010 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

During calendar year 2010, 45 projects were approved for the Job Development Credit Program, and these projects have made initial commitments to create 6,951 new jobs and to invest \$2.1 billion in capital land, building or equipment. The projected 10-year net economic benefit is \$8.3 billion in value to the state, the locality and private citizens in the form of public revenues and wages.

Also in 2010, companies continued to apply for, and be approved for, 5-year retraining agreements. Having negotiated training plans with the technical college serving their area, 7 retraining plans were approved for the Enterprise Zone retraining credit. Under these 5-year plans, the 7 participating companies indicated that a total of 1,526 employees represent qualified "production employees" eligible for retraining credits.

Additionally, the Coordinating Council approved a transfer from Enterprise Zone funds to the agency budget in accordance with Proviso 89.87 of the Appropriation Act for FY 2010-11. The amount of the transfer was \$200,000.

SUMMARY OF 2010 ENTERPRISE ZONE PROGRAM ACTIVITY & APPLICATION FEES

| SOUTH CAROLINA ENTERPRISE PROGRAM 2010 PROJECT APPROVALS | | | | |
|---|-----------------|--|--|--|
| JOB DEVELOPMENT CREDITS: | | | | |
| Number of Approved Projects | 45 | | | |
| Projected Jobs | 6,951 | | | |
| Projected Capital Investment | \$2,147,165,914 | | | |
| Net Economic Benefit (over 10 years) | \$8,330,134,371 | | | |
| RETRAINING CREDITS: | | | | |
| Number of Retraining Agreements | 7 | | | |
| Employees to be Retrained (over 5 years) | 1,526 | | | |

| 2010 ENTERPRISE ZONE APPLICATION FEES | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| \$281,250.00 | | | |
| | | | |
| \$513,656.30 [*] | | | |
| 2010-11, the Coordinating Council approve | | | |
| | | | |

SUMMARY OF 2010 ENTERPRISE ZONE PROGRAM ACTIVITY

2010 JOB DEVELOPMENT CREDIT PROJECTS - BY COUNTY CLASSIFICATION -

| COUNTY CLASSIFICATION | NUMBER OF PROJECTS | PROJECTED INVESTMENT | PROJECTED JOBS |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| Developed | 10 | \$791,018,416 | 3,241 |
| Moderately Developed | 15 | \$1,085,526,021 | 2,100 |
| Under Developed | 5 | \$78,665,877 | 466 |
| Least Developed | 4 | \$92,226,100 | 229 |
| Distressed | 11 | \$99,729,500 | 915 |
| TOTALS | 45 | \$2,147,165,914.00 | 6,951 |

2010 JOB DEVELOPMENT CREDIT PROJECTS - BY PROJECT TYPE -

| PROJECT TYPE | Number of Projects | NUMBER OF COMPANIES | PROJECTED INVESTMENT | PROJECTED JOBS |
|--------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Expansion | 15 | 15 | \$349,223,998 | 1,549 |
| New | 30 | 24 | \$1,797,941,916 | 5,402 |
| TOTALS | 45 | 39 | \$2,147,165,914.00 | 6,951 |

ENTERPRISE ZONE PROGRAM PRELIMINARY REVITALIZATION AGREEMENTS CALENDAR YEAR 2010 APPROVALS

Note: Not all projects have been announced

| PROJECT NUMBER | COUNTY | PROJECTED INVESTMENT | PROJECTED JOBS | PROJECTED 10-YEAR NET ECONOMIC BENEFIT | PROJECT TYPE |
|----------------|--------------|-------------------------|----------------|--|-----------------|
| EZ10352528 | Marlboro | \$60,000,000 | 94 | \$110,788,065 | Expansion |
| EZ10392505 | Pickens | \$3,680,000 | 45 | \$54,150,591 | New |
| EZ10322582 | Lexington | \$105,000,000 | 1,249 | \$1,071,628,797 | New |
| EZ10402569A | Richland | \$232,143,976 | 500 | \$816,410,594 | New |
| EZ10402569B | Richland | \$228,949,440 | 400 | \$730,450,811 | New |
| EZ10422532 | Spartanburg | \$7,500,000 | 200 | \$173,266,709 | New |
| EZ10432539 | Sumter | \$6,000,000 | 120 | \$100,667,413 | New |
| EZ10462570 | York | \$8,376,021 | 176 | \$222,251,211 | Expansion |
| EZ10462589 | York | \$9,970,000 | 60 | \$46,467,567 | New |
| EZ10132530 | Chesterfield | \$3,876,100 | 91 | \$135,660,812 | Expansion |
| EZ10292580 | Lancaster | \$3,096,000 | 80 | \$170,443,259 | Expansion |
| EZ09422501 | Spartanburg | \$7,000,000 | 60 | \$52,838,574 | Expansion |
| EZ10242588 | Greenwood | \$12,000,000 | 50 | \$45,758,365 | New |
| EZ10042595 | Anderson | \$3,625,000 | 40 | \$64,809,224 | New |
| EZ10142577 | Clarendon | \$5,410,000 | 143 | \$131,734,662 | New |
| EZ10362496 | Newberry | \$15,007,000 | 204 | \$191,539,360 | Expansion |
| EZ10042495A | Anderson | \$250,000,000 | 200 | \$403,128,523 | New |
| EZ10042495B | Anderson | \$250,000,000 | 200 | \$306,409,524 | New |
| EZ10042495C | Anderson | \$250,000,000 | 200 | \$340,032,378 | New |
| EZ10042495D | Anderson | \$250,000,000 | 400 | \$575,461,214 | New |
| EZ10322537 | Lexington | \$8,900,000 | 32 | \$49,363,027 | New |
| EZ10082538 | Berkeley | \$500,000 | 87 | \$133,978,140 | Expansion |
| EZ10122568 | Chester | \$45,000,000 | 40 | \$69,800,093 | Expansion |
| EZ09382502 | Orangeburg | \$50,000,000 | 18 | \$52,426,322 | New |
| EZ10182509 | Dorchester | \$47,000,000 | 190 | \$162,974,125 | New |
| EZ10432512 | Sumter | \$8,978,877 | 57 | \$41,903,904 | Expansion |
| EZ10422507 | Spartanburg | \$10,100,000 | 40 | \$47,062,790 | Expansion |
| EZ10402571 | Richland | \$27,500,000 | 150 | \$127,123,104 | Expansion |
| EZ10292541A | Lancaster | \$1,225,000 | 30 | \$37,985,438 | New |
| EZ10292541B | Lancaster | \$2,070,000 | 53 | \$59,511,407 | New |
| EZ10292541C | Lancaster | \$2,440,000 | 60 | \$47,918,026 | New |
| EZ10292541D | Lancaster | \$4,421,500 | 60 | \$47,271,506 | New |
| EZ10452540 | Williamsburg | \$7,040,000 | 75 | \$46,442,592 | Expansion |
| EZ10232583 | Greenville | \$1,125,000 | 325 | \$272,938,311 | New |
| EZ10422534 | Spartanburg | \$18,777,000 | 310 | \$263,953,681 | New |

ENTERPRISE ZONE PROGRAM PRELIMINARY REVITALIZATION AGREEMENTS CALENDAR YEAR 2010 APPROVALS

(CONTINUED)

Note: Not all projects have been announced

| PROJECT NUMBER | COUNTY | PROJECTED INVESTMENT | PROJECTED JOBS | PROJECTED 10-YEAR NET ECONOMIC BENEFIT | PROJECT TYPE |
|----------------|--------------|-------------------------|----------------|--|-----------------|
| | | | | | |
| EZ10462526 | York | \$2,678,000 | 45 | \$80,374,070 | New |
| EZ10182553 | Dorchester | \$125,000,000 | 285 | \$398,109,626 | Expansion |
| EZ10232462 | Greenville | \$14,000,000 | 70 | \$106,633,136 | New |
| EZ09232484 | Greenville | \$1,400,000 | 40 | \$40,498,364 | Expansion |
| EZ10132544 | Chesterfield | \$26,350,000 | 70 | \$82,582,642 | Expansion |
| EZ10292523 | Lancaster | \$1,000,000 | 150 | \$195,027,001 | New |
| EZ09342504 | Marion | \$5,277,000 | 70 | \$59,298,606 | New |
| EZ10462522 | York | \$6,600,000 | 57 | \$53,975,491 | New |
| EZ10142563 | Clarendon | \$7,750,000 | 100 | \$61,666,822 | New |
| EZ10462559 | York | \$10,400,000 | 25 | \$47,418,494 | New |

ENTERPRISE ZONE PROGRAM FINAL REVITALIZATION AGREEMENTS CALENDAR YEAR 2010 APPROVALS

| COMPANY NAME | COUNTY | PROJECTED 10-YEAR NET ECONOMIC BENEFIT |
|---|-------------|---|
| Blue Ridge Log Cabins, LLC | Spartanburg | \$46,523,324 |
| C.M. Steel, Inc. | York | \$74,967,498 |
| Capsugel (Warner-Lambert) | Greenwood | \$95,563,269 |
| CareCore National, LLC | Beaufort | \$128,619,900 |
| Carolina Ingredients | York | \$34,791,690 |
| DISH DBS Corporation | Spartanburg | \$176,929,809 |
| Frigoglass North America Ltd Company | Spartanburg | \$56,578,196 |
| Gildan Charleston 2010, LLC | Berkeley | \$67,762,539 |
| GTE - Gas Turbine Efficiency | Spartanburg | \$79,205,721 |
| Harsco Corporation | Lexington | \$34,530,505 |
| ILJIN America Corporation | Spartanburg | \$92,945,874 |
| ILJIN America Corporation | Spartanburg | \$67,187,471 |
| Independent Pipe Products | Abbeville | \$28,672,328 |
| Kaydon Corporation - Bearings Division | Sumter | \$39,754,304 |
| Lear Operations Corporation | Spartanburg | \$102,406,867 |
| Magna Exteriors and Interiors USA, Inc. | Spartanburg | \$89,845,732 |
| Mankiewicz Coatings, LLC | Berkeley | \$35,921,857 |
| MCA Media, LLC (MCA Sign Co) | Aiken | \$78,660,784 |
| Mediterranean Shipping Co. (USA) Inc. | Charleston | \$90,836,119 |
| Otis Spunkmeyer, Inc. | Lexington | \$65,768,433 |
| Pegasus Steel, LLC | Berkeley | \$68,016,287 |
| Radix Group International, Inc. | Lexington | \$233,046,098 |
| RBUS, Inc. | Lancaster | \$181,234,117 |
| Saint-Gobain Abrasives, Inc. | Greenville | \$40,498,364 |
| Scientific Research Corporation | Charleston | \$513,686,167 |
| Snak-Time Foods of NC, LLC | Cherokee | \$23,366,902 |
| Sunbelt Rentals, Inc. | York | \$228,933,171 |
| United Parcel Service | Greenville | \$137,420,785 |
| United Tool & Mold, Inc. | Spartanburg | \$22,604,902 |

ENTERPRISE ZONE PROGRAM 5-YEAR RETRAINING AGREEMENTS CALENDAR YEAR 2010 APPROVALS

| COMPANY NAME | COUNTY | EMPLOYEES ELIGIBLE TO BE RETRAINED DURING 5-YEAR AGREEMENT |
|---------------------------------------|------------|--|
| | | |
| Confluence Watersports | Pickens | 400 |
| Covidien Healthcare - Seneca Facility | Oconee | 425 |
| Detyens Shipyards, Inc. | Charleston | 25 |
| Fabri-Kal Corporation | Greenville | 150 |
| Honeywell - Greer Plant | Greenville | 392 |
| Ulbrich Precision Flat Wire | Oconee | 100 |
| United Tool & Mold, Inc. | Pickens | 34 |