

Nikki R. Haley
Governor

Robert M. Hitt III
Secretary

TO: Michael McInerney, Director of External Affairs

South Carolina Department of Commerce

FROM: Alan D. Young, Executive Director, Coordinating Council

South Carolina Department of Commerce

DATE: March 15, 2013

SUBJECT: Economic Development Set-Aside Fund,

Governors Closing Fund and

Rural Infrastructure Fund Activity for 2012

On behalf of the Coordinating Council for Economic Development, I am pleased to submit the 2012 Coordinating Council for Economic Development Annual Report of Fund Activity. In accordance with Sections 12-10-85(D) and 12-28-2910(E), this report details activities of the Council regarding the Economic Development Set-Aside Fund, Governor's Closing Fund and Rural Infrastructure Fund. These funds are managed by the South Carolina Department of Commerce's Grants Administration Division. Please forward to the Governor's Office, the Budget & Control Board, the Senate Finance Committee, and the House Ways & Means Committee.

I am available at 803-737-0448 should you have questions or need additional information.

cc: The Honorable Robert M. Hitt III, Secretary of Commerce
Chairman, Coordinating Council for Economic Development
Bill Blume, Director, SC Department of Revenue
Chairman, Coordinating Council Enterprise Committee
The Honorable Hugh E. Weathers, Commissioner, SC Department of Agriculture
Ralph A. Odom, Jr., Chairman, State Board for Technical and Comprehensive
Education

Marco L.E. Cavazzoni, Chairman, SC Research Authority
Peter Brown, Chairman, Jobs Economic Development Authority
O. L. Thompson, Chairman, Santee Cooper
Duane N. Parrish, Director, SC Department of Parks, Recreation and Tourism
William H. Stern, Chairman, State Ports Authority
John Finan, Director, SC Department of Employment and Workforce
Robert St. Onge, Secretary, SC Department of Transportation

Enclosure

South Carolina Coordinating Council for Economic Development

2012 Annual Report of Economic Development Set-Aside Fund, Governor's Closing Fund and Rural Infrastructure Fund Activity

SC Coordinating Council for Economic Development 2012 Report of Economic Development Set-Aside Fund, Governor's Closing Fund and Rural Infrastructure Fund Activity

Table of Contents

| Overview of the Coordinating Council for Economic Development | 2 |
|---|----|
| Council Responsibilities and Membership | 2 |
| 2012 Administrative Changes (needs to be verified) | |
| Coordinating Council Grant Funds Set-Aside, Governor's Closing Fund and Rural Infrastructure Fund | 5 |
| Overview of State Grant Funds | |
| Set-aside | |
| Closing Fund | |
| Rural Infrastructure Fund | |
| Types of Projects Funded | |
| Applicant Eligibility | |
| 2012 Jobs Tax Credit Designations | |
| Funding Process | 8 |
| Funding Considerations | |
| Additional Funding Guidelines for Business Development Grants | 9 |
| Funding Process | 9 |
| Eligible and Ineligible Activities | |
| Set-Aside | |
| Rural Infrastructure Fund | 11 |
| 2012 Coordinating Council Funding Activity And Accomplishments | 13 |
| Business Development | 13 |
| Community Development | 17 |
| Grant Program Compliance | 18 |
| Monitoring | |
| Procurement | |

OVERVIEW OF THE COORDINATING COUNCIL FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

The Coordinating Council for Economic Development ("Council") was formed in response to a general need for improved coordination of efforts in the area of economic development by those state agencies involved in the recruitment of new business and the expansion of current enterprises throughout the State. Formally established in 1986 by the General Assembly (SC Code § 13-1-1710), the purpose of the Council is to enhance economic growth and development in the State through strategic planning and coordination. As such, the Council is chaired by the Secretary of Commerce. Ten additional members are drawn from other state agencies involved in economic development, and the member agency heads are either board chairmen or cabinet officials.

The Council's administrative staff is housed in the Grants Administration Division of the South Carolina Department of Commerce ("Department of Commerce"), which manages the Council's state grant funds as well as the Enterprise Zone programs. Grants Administration also manages two federal grant programs, the Community Development Block Grant and Appalachian Regional Commission programs.

The Department of Commerce Division of Community and Rural Development Division assists with projects that are eligible for the Rural Infrastructure Fund ("RIF") program. If the Council approves a RIF grant award, the Grants Administration Division administers the funds and the Community and Rural Development Division works with the county to ensure successful implementation of the project.

COUNCIL RESPONSIBILITIES AND MEMBERSHIP

By statute, the full body of the Council is required to meet at least quarterly. Its responsibilities include: establishing guidelines and procedures for all Council programs; implementing the state's strategy for economic development; reviewing and approving all applications for grants from the Set-Aside, Rural Infrastructure, Closing and Tourism Infrastructure Funds; and reviewing and approving all applications for Enterprise Zone Job Development Credit and Retraining incentives and all applications for International Trade Incentives. The Council also certifies economic development projects as representing "significant economic impact" on areas surrounding them, for the purposes of qualifying for income tax apportionment and income tax moratoriums.

Following enactment of the Enterprise Zone legislation in 1995, the Council formed a specialized, six-member subcommittee ("Enterprise Committee") to handle the substantial volume of new activity and related policy decisions. As of 2008, the Enterprise Committee is now made up of five members. This committee meets monthly to review and approve

applications for Enterprise Zone incentives and applications for International Trade incentives, and to respond to issues and recommend policies for adoption by the full Council at its quarterly meetings. Current membership of the Council is shown below.

AGENCY MEMBERS OF THE COORDINATING COUNCIL

SC Department of Commerce *SC Department of Revenue SC Department of Parks, Recreation and Tourism

SC Department of Transportation

SC Research Authority

State Board for Technical and Comprehensive

Education

SC Department of Employment and Workforce

*SC Department of Agriculture

* Santee Cooper

*State Ports Authority

*Jobs Economic Development Authority

2012 ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES

Robert M. Hitt III, who was appointed Secretary of Commerce by Governor Haley in January 2011, acted as Chairperson of the Council throughout 2012. Jim Etter, Director of the SC Department of Revenue, chaired the Enterprise Committee throughout 2012. The heads of several member agencies changed during 2012.

Council membership after all changes in calendar year 2012 was as follows:

Robert M. Hitt III Secretary of Commerce

Chairman, Coordinating Council for Economic Development

Jim Etter Director, SC Department of Revenue

Chairman, Coordinating Council Enterprise Committee

Abraham J. Turner Director, SC Department of Employment and Workforce

Hugh E. Weathers Commissioner, SC Department of Agriculture

Ralph A. Odom, Jr. Chairman, State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education

Marco L.E. Cavazzoni Chairman, SC Research Authority

Peter Brown Chairman, Jobs and Economic Development Authority

O.L. Thompson Chairman, Santee Cooper

William H. Stern Chairman, State Ports Authority

Duane N. Parrish Director, SC Department of Parks, Recreation and Tourism

Secretary, SC Department of Transportation Robert St. Onge

^{*}Denotes Enterprise Committee member

Current Council staff:

Alan D. Young Executive Director, Coordinating Council Cynthia S. Turnipseed Assistant to the Director and staff attorney

Dale Culbreth Senior Program Manager, CCED Grant Programs
Marcella Forrest Senior Program Manager, Enterprise Zone Program

COORDINATING COUNCIL FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STATE GRANT FUNDS

OVERVIEW OF STATE GRANT FUNDS

SET-ASIDE

In 1987, the General Assembly passed a bill that provided for an additional 3 cents per gallon tax on the sale of gasoline in the State. The General Assembly charged the Council for Economic Development with administering this new initiative known as the Economic Development Set-Aside Program. The Set-Aside Fund is dedicated to improving the economic well-being of the State by providing funds to local government to develop the infrastructure necessary for new and expanding business. At inception, the fund was created from the first \$10 million received through State gas tax revenues. The annual \$10 million appropriation was later increased to \$18 million, and then in July 2006, to \$20 million. The funding source was also changed to be split between utility and gas tax revenues. By 2008, utility taxes were the sole funding source and Set-Aside revenue was capped at \$20 million.

During calendar year 2012, the Set-Aside fund received \$19.7 million in utility tax receipts toward both the FY 11-12 and FY 12-13 appropriations, plus \$100,000 in recaptured funds. Transfers out of the fund for program administration, GIS, Closing Fund, and required pass-throughs totaled \$3.4 million.

CLOSING FUND

The Governor's Closing Fund was created in 2006 when additional, more flexible funding was needed to assist with high impact economic development projects. Funding was originally dependent on annual appropriations from the General Assembly, which included an initial \$7 million for FY 06-07 and a second \$7 million for FY 07-08, but additional appropriations were limited. To meet the need for adequate funding for economic development projects, and "to provide maximum flexibility to encourage the creation of new jobs and capital investment," the General Assembly voted to give the Council the authority to "transfer economic development funds at its disposal to the Closing Fund." This provision was first included in the General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2009-2010 in Proviso 40.30. Transfers must be approved by a majority vote of the Council members in a public meeting.

During calendar year 2012, the Council voted to transfer \$4.5 million into the Closing Fund during FY 11-12 to offset the lack of any appropriated funds. For FY 12-13, Closing Fund appropriations were \$25 million.

RURAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUND

The South Carolina Rural Development Act was enacted by the legislature in 1996 (SC Code § 12-10-80). This act established the Rural Infrastructure Fund (RIF) with the purpose of providing financial assistance to local governments, primarily in rural counties, for infrastructure and other economic development activities. The goal of the RIF program is to promote and encourage economic growth and prosperity in the State's rural areas.

Enabling legislation gave the Council responsibility for funds generated by the provisions of the Rural Development Act, as well as for developing policies and procedures. Funding comes from companies participating in an Enterprise Zone Revitalization Agreement with the Council, which permits companies to claim a refund for a portion of the employee state payroll tax withholding sent to the Department of Revenue each quarter. This refund is designated as a Job Development Credit (JDC) and may be used by the company to offset certain eligible company expenses, such as real property expenses, associated with its new or expanded operation.

Participating companies located in Tier 4 counties, which are generally the least developed counties in the state, are eligible to claim a refund of 100% of the JDCs to which they are entitled under their Revitalization Agreement. Participating companies in Tier 3 and 2 counties may claim only 85% and 70% of the JDCs for which they are otherwise eligible, respectively; and in the most developed Tier 1 counties only 55%.

The JDC funds which participating companies cannot claim as a result of being located in a Tier 1, 2 or 3 county are the source of funding for the RIF grant program. The Department of Revenue collects and transfers these monies to the RIF each quarter. During calendar year 2012, deposits received from the Department of Revenue for the RIF fund totaled \$14.3 million. An additional \$229,000 was recaptured under the terms of Performance Agreements. Of the receipts in excess of \$10 million, \$657,547.06 was reserved for developed counties as required per (SC Code § 12-10-85). Other transfers out of the fund for program administration, Closing Fund, and required pass-throughs for both FY 11-12 and FY 12-13 totaled \$7.37 million.

TYPES OF PROJECTS FUNDED

The purpose of both the Set-Aside and Closing Funds is to assist companies in locating or expanding in South Carolina. Together, these programs provide funding necessary to encourage competitive projects to locate or expand in South Carolina. Generally, "but for" or without Council participation, projects would not locate in South Carolina. Set-Aside grants are used primarily to fund land acquisition, road improvements, water and sewer infrastructure and site preparation costs related to business location and expansion. Closing Fund grants are more flexible and can be used to meet a wider variety of economic development project needs.

For counties that are eligible for RIF funding, RIF can be used for economic development project assistance, as well as assistance needed to prepare the state's most rural areas to support economic development. Initially, RIF funds were used primarily for "product development," but in 2005 the Council adopted a formal investment strategy that broadened the use of RIF funds to other types of

activities necessary to improve economic competitiveness. As a result of the investment strategy, RIF funds are now targeted towards four areas:

- Tourism development
- Commercial revitalization
- Workforce development
- Business development

Accomplishments for RIF are described both in terms of grants used for business development assistance, which are tied to jobs and investment, and for product development, such as industrial parks and sites, and for community development purposes like community revitalization and workforce development.

APPLICANT ELIGIBILITY

The Council can approve Set-Aside and Closing Fund assistance for projects anywhere in the state, regardless of location or county status. RIF, on the other hand, is geographically targeted according to the program's enabling legislation. Generally, only local governments located in counties designated as Tier 3 or Tier 4 for Jobs Tax Credit purposes are eligible for RIF funds, except that when annual deposits exceed \$10 million, up to 25% of the amount over \$10 million must be made available to counties qualified as Tiers 1 or 2 for projects that will benefit underdeveloped areas of those counties (SC Code of Laws §12-10-85).

The four-tier "development level" of counties for the "Jobs Tax Credit" is a ranking determined by the SC Department of Revenue and published at the beginning of each calendar year. The criteria for this determination was established by the legislature (SC Code of Laws §12-6-3360.) For 2012, the rankings as of the end of 2012 are shown below.

2012 JOBS TAX CREDIT DESIGNATIONS

| TIER 4 100% | TIER 3 85% | TIER 2 70% | TIER 1 55% |
|--|--|---|---|
| Allendale Bamberg Barnwell Chesterfield Clarendon Dillon Lancaster Lee Marion Marlboro McCormick | Abbeville Cherokee Chester Colleton Darlington Fairfield Greenwood Hampton Laurens Orangeburg Sumter | Anderson Calhoun Edgefield Georgetown Horry Jasper Newberry Oconee Pickens Spartanburg York | Aiken Beaufort Berkeley Charleston Dorchester Florence Greenville Kershaw Lexington Richland Saluda |
| Williamsburg | Union | | |

FUNDING PROCESS

FUNDING CONSIDERATIONS

For competitive economic development projects, the Council considers funding for projects on an individual basis and evaluates each of the following when determining whether funding is an appropriate and effective use of state grant funds:

- Competitiveness of the project;
- Number and type of jobs created;
- Type of industry (e.g., manufacturing, distribution, corporate headquarters, research and development);
- Unemployment rate in county where the project locates;
- Total invested dollars (land, building, machinery and equipment costs);
- Cost of the project;
- Cost-effectiveness of the project;
- Future tax revenues anticipated;
- Time frame for completion of construction of the facility;
- Infrastructure needs of the region;
- Funding sought from other sources;
- Financial viability of the company; and
- Company status as a good corporate citizen.

For RIF community development and product development grants, the Council considers a variety of factors, including:

- Economic viability of the project;
- Cost effectiveness of the project activities;
- Benefit to the state/region/county/municipality;
- Ability of local government(s) to carry out and maintain the project; and
- Ability to proceed to completion within a reasonable period of time.

The RIF project must also support the implementation of a county's strategic development plan, or be directly related to economic development in the area, and must demonstrate local political and public support. The Council also looks for significant community financial support and will typically not approve 100% of any request for RIF assistance. To ensure this, projects are considered for RIF funding only once all other available sources of funding have been committed. There generally must be a demonstrable shortfall that cannot be met without RIF assistance.

FUNDING GUIDELINES FOR BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT GRANTS

- Council business development funding approval is tied directly to specific economic development projects with new job creation and capital investment.
- As a general rule, Set-Aside and RIF funding is limited to \$10,000 per new job created, but Closing Fund assistance may be higher where substantial economic benefit is anticipated from high-impact, high profile projects.
- A Department of Commerce Business Development project manager must be actively involved in the recruitment of the economic development project for which funding is requested.
- Without Council funding, the project will not locate or expand in South Carolina.
- Performance Agreements are required for all Council grant funds used as economic
 development tools to help recruit new or expand existing employers in the state. If the
 company fails to meet either the job or the capital investment guarantee, the use of
 Performance Agreements provides the Council with the ability to recapture funding by
 requiring pro-rata repayment of grant funds.

FUNDING PROCESS

For business development grants awarded from any funding source, the process is integrated with the Business Development project activities and functions of the Department of Commerce.

- 1. The Department of Commerce Business Development Division works with local governments to identify specific funding needs for projects. In rural counties where RIF funding may be used for business development purposes, the Community and Rural Development Division may liaison with the county. Preliminary details such as cost estimates, project scope, company financials and number of jobs and level of investment expected are submitted to Business Development.
- 2. Preliminary information is reviewed by the Council, and if it is determined that the project is consistent with the economic development goals of the State and meets established evaluation criteria, the local government is invited to submit a formal application for funding.

The remainder of the process is similar for both business development and non-business development grants:

- 3. Applications are submitted to the Department of Commerce Grants Administration Division and processed by staff. The related requests for funding are presented to the Council at its quarterly meetings.
- 4. The Council has the discretion to approve or disapprove all funding requests and may negotiate funding terms and amounts as it sees fit.
- 5. If funding is approved, approval letters and grant award agreements are sent to the local government. The grant award agreements must be signed by representatives with the authority to enter into contracts on behalf of the local government. Once signed, the agreement becomes an executed contract between the Council and the local government, containing the specific and requirements and provisions associated with the grant award.

- 6. For business development projects, performance agreements are also required. These are contracts between the company, the local government applicant and the Council, and as such, they must also be signed by company representatives that have the legal authority to enter into contracts on behalf of their respective entity. Performance agreements contain specific requirements for job creation and new capital investment.
- 7. The Council reviews all signed agreements and maintains copies in its grant files.
- 8. Once all agreements have been signed, Council grants may be used to reimburse approved project costs. Cost estimates provided at application serve as the project budget, and only those approved budget items and amounts are eligible for reimbursement.
- 9. Grant recipients submit paid invoices to Grants Administration to request reimbursement of approved project costs. Council staff monitors compliance with grant terms and budgets and reserves the right to deny payment for ineligible project costs or for failure to comply with grant requirements.
- 10. Once projects are complete, grantees notify the Council in writing and staff initiates grant financial closeout.
- 11. For business development grants, final closeout does not occur until the company on whose behalf the project was undertaken submits documentation related to its performance under the grant. Jobs and investment are evaluated to determine whether they are sufficient to satisfy the terms of the agreement, and where appropriate, the Council reserves the right to require pro-rata repayment of grant funds.
- 12. In all cases, once all required closeout documentation has been submitted to the Council, and has been reviewed and determined to be in compliance with all terms and conditions of the grant award agreement, grants are officially closed.

ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE ACTIVITIES

SET-ASIDE

Effective July 1, 2001, a proviso defining eligible uses of Set-Aside funds was passed by the legislature. Specifically, the proviso limited the use of Set-Aside funds to road construction/improvement projects, water and sewer projects and site preparation, and it further defined allowable site preparation activities. In July 2006, the legislature passed a second proviso expanding eligible activities to include fiber optic cable, rail spurs and the purchase of land. Next, in 2010, the Economic Development Competitiveness Act added additional eligible activities that went into effect on January 1, 2011. Finally, also effective January 1, 2011, the proviso terms defining eligible activities for Set-aside grants were moved to SC Code § 12-28-2910 (E).

Below is a list of eligible and ineligible activities.

Eligible Activities

- Public Improvements Roads, Water and Wastewater Infrastructure
 - o Planning
 - o Engineering *limited to 10%*
 - o Right-of-way
 - o Drainage
 - Curb and gutter only when necessary for drainage
 - Construction

- o Cantilevered flashing light signals and/or gates at railroad crossings when necessary
- o Road re-surfacing or widening
- o Turn lanes and acceleration and deceleration lanes
- Site preparation
 - o Surveying
 - o Environmental and geotechnical study and mitigation
 - o Clearing, filling and grading
- Fiber optic cable
- Rail spurs
- Land acquisition
- Relocation expenses for employees paid at least 2 times the lower of the State or county per capita income
- Acquiring and improving real property
- Pollution control equipment

Activities **Not** Eligible for Set-Aside Funding

- Speculative projects
- Opening up access to undeveloped property
- State government funded projects
- Maintenance of industrial/research parks
- Shopping centers/strip malls
- Signage (except project signs required as part of the grant award agreement or permanent construction signs required by the Department of Transportation)
- Paving of parking lots or lighting
- Civic centers and/or auditoriums, except that road improvements for civic centers may be funded (up to \$1,000,000) if associated with substantial economic development projects
- Curb and guttering for aesthetic purposes
- Concrete loading docks or pads
- Equipment and moving expenses
- Residential developments

RURAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUND

Eligible activities generally include infrastructure and economic development activities. Examples are listed below:

- Engineering *limited to 10%*
- Right-of-way acquisition
- Drainage
- Roads
- Rail spurs
- Economic development program enhancement
- Speculative building assistance
- Training costs and facilities
- Improvements to regionally planned public and private water and sewer systems
- Fixed transportation facilities including highway, rail, water and air
- Improvements to both public and private electricity, natural gas and telecommunications systems

- Environmental studies
- Feasibility studies
- Community revitalization
- Marketing for counties (studies, materials)
- Small business incubators
- Industrial park development and improvement
- Relocation expenses for employees paid at least 2 times the lower of the State or county per capita income
- Site preparation
- Acquiring or improving real property

2012 COORDINATING COUNCIL FUNDING ACTIVITY AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

During calendar year 2012, the Council awarded seventy-one (71) new business development grants from Set-Aside, the Governors Closing Fund and the Rural Infrastructure Fund. A total of \$47.3 million was awarded to thirty-three (33) local governments in thirty-two (32) counties. Projected capital investment from the associated projects is \$3.5 billion, and projected new jobs total 10,420. Commitments were also made for an additional \$20 million for projects which are not yet decided. If won, these projects will represent an additional 5,711 jobs and \$2 billion in investment.

On the following pages are tables that outline the distribution of funds awarded between counties of different development status or tiers, project type (i.e., economic development projects associated with companies new to South Carolina or existing companies expanding in South Carolina) and funding source. Also included is a table that provides specifics on all projects approved during calendar year 2012. The totals shown on these charts only represent new grants awarded in 2012 and do not reflect amendments made to previously approved grants or funds committed by the Council.

Funding for business development projects was awarded out of Set-Aside, RIF and the Governors Closing Fund, with the majority awarded out of Set-Aside.

2012 BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT GRANT AWARDS - BY FUNDING SOURCE -

| FUNDING SOURCE | # GRANTS | TOTAL AWARDED |
|---------------------------|----------|---------------|
| Set-Aside | 28 | \$29,908,345 |
| Rural Infrastructure Fund | 28 | 11,650,000 |
| Governors Closing Fund | 18 | \$6,225,000 |
| TOTAL | 74 | 47,783,345 |

2012 BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT GRANT AWARDS - BY COUNTY CLASSIFICATION -

| COUNTY CLASSIFICATION | Number of Projects | PROJECTED INVESTMENT | PROJECTED JOBS |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Tier 1 | 26 | \$1,674,530,692 | 5,277 |
| Tier 2 | 15 | \$827,397,020 | 1,832 |
| Tier 3 | 18 | \$625,066,680 | 1,604 |
| Tier 4 | 12 | \$343,127,907 | 1,707 |
| TOTALS | 71 | \$3,470,122,299 | 10,420 |

2012 BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT GRANT AWARDS - BY PROJECT TYPE -

| PROJECT TYPE | FIRMS | PROJECTED INVESTMENT | PROJECTED JOBS |
|--------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Existing/Expanding | 40 | \$2,395,027,727 | 5,674 |
| New | 31 | \$1,075,094,572 | 4,746 |
| TOTALS | 71 | \$3,470,122,299 | 10,420 |

COORDINATING COUNCIL GRANT ACTIVITY & ACCOMPLISHMENTS NEW BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT AWARDS CALENDAR YEAR 2012

| Grant Number | Funding Source | Grant Recipient | County | Tier | Scope of Work | Grant Amount | Projected New Jobs | Projected Capital Investment |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|------|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| C-12-0339 | Closing | Abbeville County | Abbeville | 3 | Relocation | 200,000 | 50 | 2,500,000 |
| RIF12010326 | RIF | Abbeville County | Abbeville | 3 | Building | 75,000 | 35 | 475,000 |
| C-13-2137 | Set-Aside | Anderson County | Anderson | 2 | Site Prep | 1,500,000 | 100 | 175,000,000 |
| C-13-2138 | Set-Aside | Anderson County | Anderson | 2 | Water/Sewer | 159,845 | 50 | 2,130,000 |
| S1994 | Set-Aside | Anderson County | Anderson | 2 | Site Prep | 7,600,000 | 400 | 500,000,000 |
| C-13-2180 | RIF | Barnwell County | Barnwell | 4 | Building | 100,000 | 80 | 2,500,000 |
| C-12-1986 | Set-Aside | Berkeley County | Berkeley | 1 | Site Prep | 50,000 | 10 | 15,100,000 |
| CL12112 | Closing | Berkeley County | Berkeley | 1 | Site Prep | 200,000 | 15 | 110,000,000 |
| C-13-2140 | Set-Aside | Charleston County | Charleston | 1 | Land Acquisition | 3,750,000 | 87 | 42,278,472 |
| S1998 | Set-Aside | Charleston County | Charleston | 1 | Site Prep | 150,000 | 76 | 19,284,991 |
| S2002 | Set-Aside | Charleston County | Charleston | 1 | Roads | 200,000 | 98 | 50,000,000 |
| C-12-0318 | RIF | Cherokee County | Cherokee | 3 | Building | 200,000 | 51 | 19,000,000 |
| C-13-2152 | RIF | Chester County | Chester | 3 | Site Prep | 150,000 | 20 | 18,000,000 |
| C-13-2163 | RIF | Chester County | Chester | 3 | Infrastructure | 500,000 | 100 | 3,116,680 |
| RIF11120285 | RIF | Chester County | Chester | 3 | Multiple site, | 100,000 | 24 | 3,700,000 |
| | | | | | infra, roads | | | |
| RIF11130295 | RIF | Chesterfield County | Chesterfield | 4 | Building | 150,000 | 15 | 845,000 |
| RIF12130312 | RIF | Chesterfield County | Chesterfield | 4 | Building | 100,000 | 10 | 20,841,807 |
| C-13-2143 | RIF | Clarendon County | Clarendon | 4 | Building | 1,000,000 | 296 | 10,263,100 |
| C-13-2172 | RIF | Clarendon County | Clarendon | 4 | Building | 225,000 | 60 | 3,500,000 |
| C-12-0336 | RIF | Colleton County | Colleton | 3 | Roads | 500,000 | 150 | 42,000,000 |
| C-12-2010 | Set-Aside | Colleton County | Colleton | 3 | Building | 1,000,000 | 60 | 30,000,000 |
| RIF11160305 | RIF | Darlington County | Darlington | 3 | Roads | 100,000 | 10 | 100,000,000 |
| RIF12160307 | RIF | Darlington County | Darlington | 3 | Building | 200,000 | 25 | 120,000,000 |
| C-12-0325 | RIF | Dillon County | Dillon | 4 | Roads | 1,000,000 | 200 | 60,000,000 |
| CL12117 | Closing | Edgefield County | Edgefield | 1 | Building | 100,000 | 48 | 5,400,000 |
| S2005 | Set-Aside | Edgefield County | Edgefield | 2 | Site Prep | 100,000 | 13 | 5,400,000 |
| C-13-2145 | RIF | Fairfield County | Fairfield | 3 | Multiple site, infra, roads | 800,000 | 68 | 12,660,000 |
| C-12-2012 | SA | Florence County | Florence | 1 | Multiple site, infra, roads | 100,000 | 15 | 6,500,000 |
| C-12-2125 | Closing | Florence County | Florence | 1 | Roads | 500,000 | 80 | 10,500,000 |
| S1974 | Set-Aside | Florence County | Florence | 4 | Building | 263,500 | 25 | 5,748,000 |
| C-13-2189 | Set-Aside | Georgetown County | Georgetown | 1 | Building | 500,000 | 49 | 195,472,229 |
| C-11-1087 | Closing | Greenville County | Greenville | 1 | Building | 1,000,000 | 1,441 | 17,000,000 |
| C-12-1999 | Set-Aside | Greenville County | Greenville | 1 | Site Prep | 200,000 | 100 | 120,000,000 |
| C-12-2013 | Set-Aside | Greenville County | Greenville | 1 | Roads | 600,000 | 99 | 50,000,000 |
| C-12-2127 | Closing | Greenville County | Greenville | 1 | Building | 50,000 | 35 | 2,350,000 |
| C-12-2133 | Closing | Greenville County | Greenville | 1 | Building | 250,000 | 300 | 5,000,000 |
| C-13-2144 | Set-Aside | Greenville County | Greenville | 1 | Building | 150,000 | 51 | 14,250,000 |
| C-13-2150 | Closing | Greenville County | Greenville | 1 | Building | 825,000 | 119 | 12,000,000 |
| CL12129 | Closing | Greenville County | Greenville | 1 | Building | 500,000 | 279 | 14,000,000 |
| S1978 | Set-Aside | Greenville County | Greenville | 1 | Roads | 1,560,000 | 160 | 80,000,000 |
| RIF11240294 | RIF | Greenwood County | Greenwood | 3 | Building | 700,000 | 250 | 26,115,000 |
| C-12-0324 | RIF | Hampton County | Hampton | 3 | Multiple site, infra, roads | 200,000 | 27 | 10,500,000 |
| C-12-1989 | Set-Aside | Horry County | Horry | 2 | Building | 25,000 | 79 | 2,175,000 |
| C-12-2120 | Closing | Horry County | Horry | 2 | Building | 200,000 | 90 | 10,000,000 |
| C-12-0303 | RIF | Lancaster County | Lancaster | 4 | Building | 500,000 | 125 | 2,500,000 |
| RIF10290259 | RIF | Lancaster County | Lancaster | 1 | Building | 50,000 | 30 | 7,500,000 |

COORDINATING COUNCIL GRANT ACTIVITY & ACCOMPLISHMENTS NEW BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT AWARDS CALENDAR YEAR 2012

| Grant Number | Funding Source | Grant Recipient | County | Tier | Scope of Work | Grant Amount | Projected New Jobs | Projected Capital Investment |
|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| RIF11290283 | RIF | Lancaster County | Lancaster | 4 | Multiple site, | 400,000 | 84 | 2,500,000 |
| | | | | | infra, roads | | | |
| C-12-0340 | RIF | Laurens County | Laurens | 3 | Acquisition | 2,200,000 | 450 | 175,000,000 |
| C-12-2011 | Set-Aside | Lexington County | Lexington | 1 | Site Prep | 100,000 | 25 | 6,000,000 |
| S1985 | Set-Aside | Lexington County | Lexington | 1 | Site Prep | 1,500,000 | 100 | 250,000,000 |
| C-13-2175 | RIF | Marion County | Marion | 4 | Building | 1,000,000 | 215 | 54,430,000 |
| C-12-0329 | RIF | Marlboro County | Marlboro | 4 | Site Prep | 100,000 | 0 | 30,000,000 |
| CL12121 | Closing | Newberry County | Newberry | 2 | Roads | 550,000 | 53 | 17,547,020 |
| C-12-2132 | Closing | Oconee County | Oconee | 2 | Building | 100,000 | 63 | 6,900,000 |
| C-12-2018 | Set-Aside | Richland County | Richland | 1 | Multiple site, | 7,000,000 | 800 | 395,000,000 |
| | | | | | infra, roads | | | |
| C-12-2021 | Set-Aside | Richland County | Richland | 1 | Water/Sewer | 100,000 | 85 | 5,000,000 |
| C-13-2141 | Set-Aside | Richland County | Richland | 1 | Site Prep | 750,000 | 175 | 130,000,000 |
| CL12113 | Closing | Richland County | Richland | 1 | Building | 500,000 | 750 | 4,250,000 |
| S1995 | Set-Aside | Richland County | Richland | 1 | Roads | 200,000 | 250 | 107,645,000 |
| C-13-2167 | Set-Aside | Spartanburg County | Spartanburg | 2 | Building | 100,000 | 95 | 8,541,000 |
| RIF11430288 | RIF | Sumter County | Sumter | 3 | Site Prep | 200,000 | 60 | 20,000,000 |
| RIF12430320 | RIF | Sumter County | Sumter | 3 | Building | 600,000 | 60 | 2,500,000 |
| RIF12440309 | RIF | Union County | Union | 3 | Building | 100,000 | 124 | 4,500,000 |
| RIF12440311 | RIF | Union County | Union | 3 | Rail | 400,000 | 40 | 35,000,000 |
| C-12-1983 | Set-Aside | City of Rock Hill | York | 2 | Building | 150,000 | 30 | 3,600,000 |
| C-12-2119 | Closing | York County | York | 2 | Building | 200,000 | 60 | 4,504,000 |
| C-12-2130 | Closing | York County | York | 2 | Building | 100,000 | 36 | 2,000,000 |
| C-13-2147 | Closing | York County | York | 2 | Building | 700,000 | 383 | 60,100,000 |
| C-13-2148 | Closing | York County | York | 2 | Roads | 250,000 | 364 | 24,000,000 |
| S1926 | Set-Aside | City of Rock Hill | York | 4 | Site Prep | 2,000,000 | 597 | 150,000,000 |
| S1990 | Set-Aside | York County | York | 2 | Multiple site, infra, roads | 100,000 | 16 | 5,500,000 |
| | Totals | | | | | \$47,783,345 | 4,041 | \$3,470,122,299 |

Please note: this table only includes grants that have been formally approved and accepted by the company involved. Contingent commitments are not included.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

During 2012, the Council awarded eight (8) Rural Infrastructure Fund grants for community development projects, including two (2) grants out of the developed county reserve. These RIF grants will assist the local governments in seven (7) rural counties and two (2) local governments in underdeveloped areas of more developed counties with projects needed for economic development preparation. Of these, six (6) are for certified site engineering and registration. The other two (2) grants are for other community development activities, including infrastructure to assist small farms and downtown revitalization.

In addition to grants awarded, the Council also approved a \$1 million in RIF funds to be competitively distributed to Tier III and Tier IV counties for product development projects, including development of sites and industrial parks, spec buildings and infrastructure. The Division of Community and Rural Development will develop scoring criteria and evaluate applications. Fund will be divided into two rounds of \$500,000 each.

RIF grants awarded during the year are detailed below.

NEW COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY RURAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUND CALENDAR YEAR 2012

| Grant Number | Grant Recipient | County | County Tier | Scope of Work | Grant Amount |
|--|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| C-12-0314 | Town of Blackville | Barnwell | 4 | Commercial Revitalization | 58,325 |
| RIF-SCP-23 | Dillon County | Dillon | 4 | Certified Site Engineering and | 54,750 |
| | | | | Registration | |
| RIF-SCP-24 | Dillon County | Dillon | 4 | Certified Site Engineering and | 56,220 |
| | | | | Registration | |
| RIF-SCP-21 | Georgetown County | Georgetown | 1 | Certified Site Engineering and | 10,500 |
| | | | | Registration | |
| RIF-SCP-26 | City of Mauldin | Greenville | 1 | Certified Site Engineering and | 22,925 |
| | | | | Registration | |
| RIF-SCP-22 | Laurens County | Laurens | 3 | Certified Site Engineering and | 39,828 |
| | | | | Registration | |
| C-13-2154 | Marion County | Marion | 4 | Small farm infrastructure | 25,000 |
| | | | | development | |
| RIF-SCP-25 | Pickens County | Pickens | 2 | Certified Site Engineering and | 48,900 |
| | | | | Registration | |
| | | Total Grant Awar | ds | | \$316,448 |
| Earmark for Product Development for Tier III and IV Counties | | | | | \$1,000,000 |
| TOTAL | | | \$1,316,448 | | |

GRANT PROGRAM COMPLIANCE

Grants from any of the funds managed by the Council, including the Set-Aside Fund, Rural Infrastructure Fund, Governor's Closing Fund and Tourism Infrastructure Fund, are made under and in accordance with the laws of the State of South Carolina. The federal and state courts within South Carolina have exclusive jurisdiction to adjudicate any disputes arising out of or in connection with these grants.

Failure to comply with any of the terms and conditions of the grant can cause the Council to take, in addition to any relief that it is entitled to by law, any or all of the following actions:

- Require repayment of all or a portion of any grant funds provided; and/or cancel, terminate, or suspend the grant, in whole or in part.
- Refrain from extending any further assistance or grant funds until such time as the grantee is in full compliance with the terms and conditions of the grant agreement.

MONITORING

Projects must generally be completed by the grantee within eighteen (18) months of the date of award of the grant. Completion is defined as the final documentation by grantee to Council of grant funds expended and issuance by the Council of a notification in writing of the closure of the grant. The Council may grant extensions to the completion period requirement at its discretion.

All projects must generally begin within three (3) months of the date of award of the grant. If the grantee does not begin the project within three (3) months of the date of award of the grant, the Council reserves the right to rescind the grant, require the repayment of any grant funds provided to the grantee and terminate the agreement.

PROCUREMENT

Records for property purchased totally or partially with grant funds must be retained for a period of three (3) years after its final disposition. The grantee will maintain records relating to procurement matters for the period of time prescribed by applicable procurement laws, regulations and guidelines, but no less than three (3) years. All other pertinent grant and project records including financial records, supporting documents and statistical records will be retained for a minimum of three (3) years after notification in writing by the Council of the closure of the grant.

The grantee will certify, to the best of its knowledge, information and belief, that the work on the project for which reimbursement is requested has been completed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the grant agreement. The grantee will return surplus grant funds that result

| from project cost underruns and commit a overruns that are required to complete the pr | nd provide roject. | monies | from it | ts own | resources | for cost |
|--|--------------------|--------|---------|--------|-----------|----------|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |