

**REP. LIMEHOUSE SUBMITS THE FOLLOWING:**

**ADD NEW PROVISIO**

22.\_\_\_\_ (Moratorium on coal-ash landfill permits) During the current fiscal year the Department of Health and Environmental Control may not receive or expend funds for permitting coal-ash landfills within the ACE Basin Project Area until the Environmental Protection Agency renders a finding as to whether or not coal-ash is a hazardous waste.

**REPS. EDGE, MERRILL, AND LIMEHOUSE PROPOSE THE FOLLOWING:**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

68A.174(I-74 EIP) The Department of Transportation shall use the funds appropriated for the routing, planning, and studying of Interstate 74 to conduct an Environmental Impact Study to extend SC Hwy 31 as known as the Carolina Bays Parkway 4 miles to the North Carolina state line.

**REPS. EDGE, MERRILL, AND LIMEHOUSE PROPOSE THE FOLLOWING:**

**STATEWIDE REVENUE**

90.H(Hospitals) Of the funds received from the cigarette tax \$2,500,000 shall be allocated to the MUSC Hollings Cancer Center for research, treatment, and the requirements to maintain the National Cancer Institute accreditation. \$2,500,000 shall be allocated to the MUSC Children's Hospital for research and treatment of pediatric cancer leukemia and to assist the Children's Hospital in maintaining its Top 20 ranking according to US News & World Report. \$4,000,000 shall be allocated to the University of South Carolina School of Medicine for research and treatment in pediatric services through the rural health clinics which it operates.

**REPS. EDGE, MERRILL, AND LIMEHOUSE PROPOSE THE FOLLOWING:**

**STATE PORTS AUTHORITY**

69.FS (SPA: Feasibility Study) In the event federal funding for a feasibility study for the dredging of Charleston and Georgetown Harbors does not become available by October 1, 2011, \$400,000 shall be transferred from Coordinating Council for Economic Development funds to the State Ports Authority to conduct a feasibility study for deepening the harbors in Charleston and Georgetown Port locations. The study will examine deepening the shipping channels in Georgetown to a minimum of 27 feet and in Charleston to 50 feet.

**REPS. EDGE, MERRILL, AND LIMEHOUSE PROPOSE THE FOLLOWING:**

**STATE PORTS AUTHORITY**

**69.GTM (SPA: Georgetown Port Marketing) The State Ports Authority must increase its FY 2011-12 marketing expenditures for the Port of Georgetown by 15% above the FY 2010-11 levels.**

**REPS. EDGE, MERRILL, AND LIMEHOUSE PROPOSE THE FOLLOWING:**

**STATE PORTS AUTHORITY**

69.GP (SPA: Georgetown Promotion)The Ports Authority shall continue to market the Port of Georgetown facility for the promotion and development of renewable energy in South Carolina. Other public entities in South Carolina responsible for promoting economic development and renewable energy shall continue to work with the Ports Authority to raise awareness of the Port of Georgetown as a viable link in the energy infrastructure chain.

**REP. MERRILL SUBMITS THE FOLLOWING:**

**USC Medical School**

**ADD**

There is created a study committee to assess the fiscal sustainability of the new medical school at the University of South Carolina in Greenville. The committee shall be comprised of seven members; three members appointed by the Speaker of the House, three members appointed by the President Pro Tempore of the Senate and the Chairman of the SC Commission on Higher Education. Of the Senate appointees, one member shall be from the Senate Finance Committee and one member from the Senate Education Committee. Of the House appointees, one member must be from the House Ways and Means Committee and one member from the House Education Committee. The committee shall review, but is not limited to, the current higher education mission and goals as set forth in Section 59-103-15 of the 1976 Code, taking into consideration: 1) institutional missions and academic programs and planning; 2) funding and institutional cost; 3) buildings and facilities; and 4) organization and plan implementation. A report shall be provided to the General Assembly by January 2, 2012.

**REP. Bingham SUBMITS THE FOLLOWING:**

**State Department of Education**

**PROVISO: 1. new**

(SDE: Deregulation)

**For Fiscal Year 2011-12 the exemption provisions provided in Section 59-40-50 that apply to charter schools shall also apply to traditional public schools of the local public school districts of this State, except that a traditional public school may employ noncertified teachers in a ratio of up to ten percent of its entire teacher staff. A traditional public school may not limit or deny admission or show preference in admission decisions to any group of individuals, and it must meet the student attendance requirements as provided in this title 59 of the 1976 Code.**



**REP. Bingham SUBMITS THE FOLLOWING:**

**AGENCY NAME**

**PROVISO**

**1.3.** (SDE: EFA Formula/Base Student Cost Inflation Factor) To the extent possible within available funds, it is the intent of the General Assembly to provide for 100 percent of full implementation of the Education Finance Act to include an inflation factor projected by the Division of Budget and Analyses to match inflation wages of public school employees in the Southeast. The base student cost for the current fiscal year has been determined to be \$1,630 ~~\$1,630~~ \$1,617. In Fiscal Year 2010-11 ~~2011-12~~, the total pupil count is projected to be 694,303 ~~694,303~~ 694,850. The average per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,485 ~~4,485~~ 4,778 state, \$1,633 ~~1,633~~ 1,206 federal, and \$5,254 ~~5,254~~ 5,666 local. This is an average total funding level of \$11,372 ~~11,372~~ 11,651 excluding revenues of local bond issues. For Fiscal Year 2010-11 ~~2011-12~~ the South Carolina Public Charter School District shall receive and distribute state funds to the charter school as determined by the current year's base student cost, as funded by the General Assembly. *Pupils enrolled in virtual charter schools sponsored by the South Carolina Public Charter School District shall receive an additional \$2,500 per pupil and pupils enrolled in brick and mortar charter schools sponsored by the South Carolina Public Charter School District shall receive an additional \$4,000 per pupil.*

~~In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Abbeville School District total pupil count is projected to be 2,963. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$5,892 state, \$1,878 federal, and \$3,286 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$11,057 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Aiken School District total pupil count is projected to be 23,756. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,237 state, \$1,578 federal, and \$3,177 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$8,992 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Allendale School District total pupil count is projected to be 1,590. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$5,388 state, \$2,736 federal, and \$3,452 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$11,576 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Anderson School District 1 total pupil count is projected to be 9,042. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,267 state, \$922 federal, and \$3,060 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$8,249 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Anderson School District 2 total pupil count is projected to be 3,600. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,440 state, \$1,432 federal, and \$3,368 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$9,240 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Anderson School District 3 total pupil count is projected to be 2,588. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,534 state, \$1,578 federal, and \$3,571 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$9,683 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Anderson School District 4 total pupil count is projected to be 2,726. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,557 state, \$1,326 federal, and \$6,584 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$12,467 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Anderson School District 5 total pupil count is projected to be 12,010. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,546 state, \$1,635 federal, and \$4,032 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$10,213 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Bamberg School District 1 total pupil count is projected to be 1,387. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$5,491 state, \$2,166 federal, and \$3,299 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$10,956 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Bamberg School District 2 total pupil count is projected to be 846. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$6,283 state, \$2,477 federal, and \$3,768 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$12,529 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Barnwell School District 19 total pupil count is projected to be 829. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$5,252 state, \$2,775 federal, and \$3,212 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$11,238 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Barnwell School District 29 total pupil count is projected to be 1,075. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,356 state, \$1,453 federal, and \$2,675 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$8,484 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Barnwell School District 45 total pupil count is projected to be 2,261. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$5,398 state, \$1,663 federal, and \$3,030 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$10,091 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Beaufort School District total pupil count is projected to be 19,776. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$3,518 state, \$1,608 federal, and \$10,018 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$15,144 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Berkeley School District total pupil count is projected to be 28,797. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,177 state, \$1,528 federal, and \$4,768 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$10,473 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Calhoun School District total pupil count is projected to be 1,712. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$5,207 state, \$2,479 federal, and \$6,031 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$13,717 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Charleston School District total pupil count is projected to be 41,754. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$3,539 state, \$1,825 federal, and \$8,330 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$13,694 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Cherokee School District total pupil count is projected to be 8,654. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,584 state, \$2,050 federal, and \$4,723 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$11,357 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Chester School District total pupil count is projected to be 5,204. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,954 state, \$2,072 federal, and \$4,522 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$11,548 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Chesterfield School District total pupil count is projected to be 7,271. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,858 state, \$1,854 federal, and \$3,477 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$10,189 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Clarendon School District 1 total pupil count is projected to be 835. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$5,741 state, \$4,127 federal, and \$5,947 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$15,815 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Clarendon School District 2 total pupil count is projected to be 3,063. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,683 state, \$2,714 federal, and \$2,138 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$9,535 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Clarendon School District 3 total pupil count is projected to be 1,207. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,767 state, \$1,327 federal, and \$2,984 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$9,079 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Colleton School District total pupil count is projected to be 6,107. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,230 state, \$2,511 federal, and \$5,011 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$11,752 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Darlington School District total pupil count is projected to be 10,461. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,560 state, \$2,077 federal, and \$4,729 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$11,365 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Dillon School District 1 total pupil count is projected to be 835. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,555 state, \$2,323 federal, and \$1,730 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$8,608 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Dillon School District 2 total pupil count is projected to be 3,330. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,447 state, \$2,552 federal, and \$1,593 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$8,592 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Dillon School District 3 total pupil count is projected to be 1,731. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$3,781 state, \$1,537 federal, and \$1,913 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$7,231 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Dorchester School District 2 total pupil count is projected to be 23,163. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,281 state, \$840 federal, and \$3,133 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$8,255 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Dorchester School District 4 total pupil count is projected to be 2,079. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$5,069 state, \$2,557 federal, and \$7,710 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$15,336 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Edgefield School District total pupil count is projected to be 3,727. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$5,029 state, \$1,632 federal, and \$3,408 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$10,069 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Fairfield School District total pupil count is projected to be 2,715. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$6,049 state, \$2,644 federal, and \$7,916 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$16,609 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Florence School District 1 total pupil count is projected to be 15,598. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,441 state, \$1,670 federal, and \$3,854 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$9,965 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Florence School District 2 total pupil count is projected to be 1,299. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,210 state, \$1,501 federal, and \$3,286 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$8,998 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Florence School District 3 total pupil count is projected to be 3,335. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$5,061 state, \$4,100 federal, and \$2,349 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$11,511 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Florence School District 4 total pupil count is projected to be 822. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$6,881 state, \$3,205 federal, and \$5,021 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$15,107 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Florence School District 5 total pupil count is projected to be 1,405. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,777 state, \$1,522 federal, and \$4,263 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$10,563 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Georgetown School District total pupil count is projected to be 9,345. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,202 state, \$1,935 federal, and \$6,614 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$12,751 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Greenville School District total pupil count is projected to be 70,951. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,312 state, \$1,355 federal, and \$4,365 local. This is a total projected funding level of 10,032 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Greenwood School District 50 total pupil count is projected to be 8,435. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,412 state, \$4,431 state, \$1,671 federal, and \$6,114 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$12,216 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Greenwood School District 51 total pupil count is projected to be 1,069. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,607 state, \$1,635 federal, and \$3,675 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$9,917 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Greenwood School District 52 total pupil count is projected to be 1,550. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$3,175 state, \$1,056 federal, and \$7,027 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$11,258 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Hampton School District 1 total pupil count is projected to be 2,291. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$5,723 state, \$2,292 federal, and \$2,981 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$10,995 excluding revenues of local bond issues.

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Hampton School District 2 total pupil count is projected to be 973. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$6,484 state, \$3,320 federal, and \$4,160 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$13,965 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Horry School District total pupil count is projected to be 36,702. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$3,691 state, \$1,555 federal, and \$8,142 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$13,388 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Jasper School District total pupil count is projected to be 3,164. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,742 state, \$2,278 federal, and \$4,891 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$11,912 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Kershaw School District total pupil count is projected to be 9,956. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,766 state, \$1,441 federal, and \$4,438 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$10,645 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Lancaster School District total pupil count is projected to be 11,220. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,394 state, \$2,176 federal, and \$3,937 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$10,507 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Laurens School District 55 total pupil count is projected to be 5,700. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,369 state, \$1,755 federal, and \$3,037 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$9,161 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Laurens School District 56 total pupil count is projected to be 2,830. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$5,150 state, \$3,271 federal, and \$3,343 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$11,764 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Lee School District total pupil count is projected to be 2,304. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$7,386 state, \$2,611 federal, and \$3,289 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$13,286 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Lexington School District 1 total pupil count is projected to be 23,348. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,786 state, \$826 federal, and \$5,441 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$11,054 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Lexington School District 2 total pupil count is projected to be 8,884. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,282 state, \$1,508 federal, and \$4,092 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$9,882 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Lexington School District 3 total pupil count is projected to be 1,804. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$5,608 state, \$2,049 federal, and \$6,765 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$14,422 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Lexington School District 4 total pupil count is projected to be 3,100. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$5,553 state, \$2,555 federal, and \$3,535 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$11,643 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Lexington School District 5 total pupil count is projected to be 16,333. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$5,785 state, \$914 federal, and \$5,611 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$12,310 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Marion School District 1 total pupil count is projected to be 2,615. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,771 state, \$2,531 federal, and \$2,473 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$9,775 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Marion School District 2 total pupil count is projected to be 1,607. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$5,184 state, \$3,774 federal, and \$3,044 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$12,002 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Marion School District 7 total pupil count is projected to be 562. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$9,241 state, \$4,601 federal, and \$2,789 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$16,631 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Marlboro School District total pupil count is projected to be 4,275. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$5,422 state, \$3,399 federal, and \$2,742 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$11,564 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the McCormick School District total pupil count is projected to be 782. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$7,504 state, \$3,324 federal, and \$8,710 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$19,539 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Newberry School District total pupil count is projected to be 5,460. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$5,294 state, \$2,288 federal, and \$5,755 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$13,338 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Oconee School District total pupil count is projected to be 10,490. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,016 state, \$1,559 federal, and \$6,519 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$12,095 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Orangeburg School District 3 total pupil count is projected to be 2,789. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,922 state, \$2,719 federal, and \$6,513 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$14,155 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Orangeburg School District 4 total pupil count is projected to be 3,778. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,871 state, \$1,909 federal, and \$4,836 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$11,615 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Orangeburg School District 5 total pupil count is projected to be 6,214. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,954 state, \$2,438 federal, and \$5,636 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$13,029 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Pickens School District total pupil count is projected to be 16,106. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,136 state, \$1,228 federal, and \$5,497 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$10,861 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Richland School District 1 total pupil count is projected to be 23,453. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,043 state, \$2,524 federal, and \$9,980 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$16,548 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Richland School District 2 total pupil count is projected to be 25,023. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,884 state, \$967 federal, and \$5,259 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$11,109 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Saluda School District total pupil count is projected to be 2,105. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,810 state, \$1,552 federal, and \$3,252 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$9,615 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Spartanburg School District 1 total pupil count is projected to be 4,801. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$5,684 state, \$1,194 federal, and \$3,884 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$10,763 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Spartanburg School District 2 total pupil count is projected to be 9,512. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,958 state, \$1,169 federal, and \$3,264 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$9,391 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Spartanburg School District 3 total pupil count is projected to be 2,808. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,654 state, \$1,517 federal, and \$5,114 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$11,285 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Spartanburg School District 4 total pupil count is projected to be 2,912. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,295 state, \$990 federal, and \$2,848 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$8,133 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Spartanburg School District 5 total pupil count is projected to be 7,530. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,467 state, \$1,049 federal, and \$5,720 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$11,236 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Spartanburg School District 6 total pupil count is projected to be 10,032. The per pupil funding is projected to be 4,582 state, \$1,173 federal, and \$4,388 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$10,144 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Spartanburg School District 7 total pupil count is projected to be 6,729. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$5,523 state, \$2,842 federal, and \$6,425 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$14,791 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Sumter School District 2 total pupil count is projected to be 8,216. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,378 state, \$2,007 federal, and \$3,317 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$9,702 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Sumter School District 17 total pupil count is projected to be 7,847. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,636 state, \$2,246 federal, and \$3,102 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$9,984 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Union School District total pupil count is projected to be 4,234. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$5,349 state, \$1,793 federal, and \$2,843 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$9,984 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Williamsburg School District total pupil count is projected to be 4,800. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$5,122 state, \$3,469 federal, and \$4,910 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$13,500 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the York School District 1 total pupil count is projected to be 5,021. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,728 state, \$1,691 federal, and \$4,244 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$10,663 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the York School District 2 total pupil count is projected to be 6,520. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$5,000 state, \$852 federal, and \$7,546 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$13,398 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the York School District 3 total pupil count is projected to be 17,569. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,892 state, \$1,151 federal, and \$4,564 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$10,607 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~

~~—In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the York School District 4 total pupil count is projected to be 11,004. The per pupil funding is projected to be \$4,652 state, \$552 federal, and \$5,398 local. This is a total projected funding level of \$10,602 excluding revenues of local bond issues.~~